Unless otherwise noted, all code references are to 49CFR Part 192.

If an item is marked Unsatisfactory, Not Applicable, or Not Checked, an explanation must be included in this report.

Operator: AMEREN ILLINOIS COMPANY	Operator ID#: 32513
Inspection Date(s): 6/19/2015	Man Days: 1
Inspection Unit: Marion	
Location of Audit: Broughton	
Exit Meeting Contact:	
Inspection Type: Standard Inspection - Field Audit	
Pipeline Safety Representative(s): Jim Watts	
Company Representative to Receive Report: Michael Fuller	
Company Representative's Email Address: mfuller2@ameren.com	

Headquarters Address Information:	300 Liberty	
	Peoria, IL 61602	
	Emergency Phone#: (800) 755-5000	
	Fax#:	
Official or Mayor's Name:	Ron Pate	
	Phone#: (217) 424-6518	
	Email:	
Inspection Contact(s)	Title	Phone No.
Kevin Glaspy	Quality Assurance Consultant	
Tony Garcia	Crew Leader	

	CUSTOMER METER & REGULATOR	Status
[192.353(a)]	Is the customer meter and regulator installed in a readily accessible location and protected from corrosion and other damage, including if installed outside a building, vehicular damage that may be anticipated?	Satisfactory

#### General Comment:

Staff reviewed the meter installations at Box 137 Route 142 and the first house on the east side of Route 142 just north of Douglass Road (No address posted on the mail box). Both were installations were located south of Broughton, Illinois. The meter sets were both observed being accessible, having been painted with no corrosion or other damage present.

[192.355(b)(1)]	Is the customer regulator vent rain and insect resistant?	Satisfactory
-----------------	---	--------------

#### **General Comment:**

Both installations had vent screens present in the service regulators and at the associated farm taps.

[192.355(b)(2)]	Is the customer regulator vent located where gas from the vent escapes freely into the atmosphere and away from building openings?	Satisfactory
General Comment:		
Both customer meter installat	ions were located in an area where gas could vent freely to atmosphere.	
[192.355(b)(3)]	Is the vent protected from damage caused by submergence in areas of flooding?	Not Applicable
General Comment:	•	
The meter installations inspec	cted were not located in locations where flooding is an issue.	
[192.357(a)]	Is the customer meter and regulator installed to minimize anticipated stresses upon connecting piping?	Satisfactory
General Comment:		
No visible stresses were obse	erved on the above ground piping present at the two installations inspected during the audit.	
[192.357(d)]	Is a customer regulator that might release gas vented to the outside atmosphere?	Satisfactory
General Comment:	·	
Both customer meter installa	ions were located outside the building they were supplying.	
[192.359(a)]	Is the meter operating pressure within the allowable limits of the meter case rating?	Satisfactory
General Comment:	•	
Both customer meter cases a	t the installations inspected were marked with a 10 psig MAOP and operate at approximately 4 ounces of	f pressure.
[192.365(a)]	Is the service line valve upstream of the regulator or meter?	Satisfactory
General Comment:	•	
Service line valves were observatily accessible.	erved present at the farm tap installtion and on the riser located upstream of the service regulator and me	ter. Both installations were
[192.365(c)]	Is the underground service line valve located in a curb box or standpipe that allows for ready operation?	Not Applicable
General Comment:		
There were no below ground	valves located on the installations inspected during the audit.	
[192.727(d)][192.727(d)	When service to the customer has been discontinued has the proper method been utilized to prevent unauthorized usage?	Satisfactory
General Comment:	·	
Staff observed the riser valve appeared to be a newly insta	serving a mobile home located at Box 137 Route 142 was locked in the closed position and the outlet pipelled meter installation and the customer had not yet connected their piping as of the date of the inspection	ping was plugged. This n.
	CATHODIC PROTECTION	Status
[192.463(a)]	Is the applicable cathodic protection criteria contained in Appendix D of this part being followed?	Satisfactory

192.614]	Does the operator have a valid notification of planned excavation activities?	Satisfactory
	DAMAGE PREVENTION	Status
	vas observed on the above ground piping inspected at the two meter installations reviewed during the inspect	tion.
General Comment:		Satisfactory
192.479(c)]	Is the pipeline free of corrosion or pitting?	O-Maria da
	g on the farm taps and meter installations were observed in good condition.	
General Comment:		
192.479(a)]	Is the above ground piping coated or painted as required?	Satisfactory
lo casings were inspec	eted as part of this audit.	
General Comment:	I	1
192.467(c)]	Were casings installations tested for electrical isolation?	Not Applicable
Electrical isolation was	being provided by and insulated riser valve unions at the two meter installations inspected during the audit.	
General Comment:		<u> </u>
192.467(a)]	Is electrical isolation provided by use of insulated meter spud, valve, union, or flange?	Satisfactory
lo critical or non-critica	I bonds were inspected during this inspection.	
General Comment:		
192.465(c)]	Were critical/non critical bonds tested?	Not Applicable
lo isolated services we	re inspected during the field audit.	
eneral Comment:	·	
192.465(a)]	Were isolated mains/services tested?	Not Applicable
he piping is protected	with anodes. No rectifiers are present on this line.	
General Comment:	1	1
192.465(b)]	Were rectifier installations inspected?	Not Applicable
Pipe to soils performed noth indicating potential	at the farm taps located at Box 137 Route 142 and at the house located just north of Douglass Road on the ellevels at -1.4 volts.	east side of Route 142 we
General Comment:		
192.465(a)]	Were pipe to soil readings taken?	Satisfactory
	of -1.4 volts meeting the minimum allowed potential level of850 volts as defined in Appendix D.	•
athodic protection was	s being supplied to the high pressure distribution main and inlet piping on the farm taps at the locations inspec	cted. The levels of protec

Unless otherwise noted, all code references are to 49CFR Part 192. If an item is marked Unsatisfactory, Not Applicable, or Not Checked, an explanation must be included in this report.

General Comment:		
Ameren's JULIE ticket nu	mber for the excavation was X00162187 with a dig start of 6/15/15 and expires 7/8/15.	
[265.40(a)]	Did the operator complete the locating activities in the specified timeframe?	Satisfactory
General Comment:	<u> </u>	
Marks were present on th	e site for the other associated utilities and the gas facilities had been marked by Ameren's contract locator.	
[192.614(c)(5)]	Were temporary markings made in accordance with the operator's Operation and Maintenance Manual?	Satisfactory
General Comment:	<u>.</u>	
The gas facilities were ma	arked prior our arrival using paint and flags placed by their contract locating service.	
[192.707(a)(1)]	Are line markers placed and maintained as close as practical over each buried main and transmission line located at each crossing of a public road and railroad?	Satisfactory
General Comment:	<u> </u>	
Current line markers with	the correct information were observed present at the road crossing of Co Road 000N (Deserter Road).	
[192.707(a)(2)]	Are line markers placed and maintained as close as practical over each buried main and transmission line located wherever necessary to identify the location of the transmission line or main to reduce the possibility of damage or interference?	Satisfactory
General Comment:		
The line was adequately	marked in the vicinity of the proposed work.	
[192.707(c)]	Are line markers placed and maintained as close as practical over each main and transmission line located above ground?	Not Applicable
General Comment:	<u>'</u>	
There was no above grou	and main or transmission piping at the location inspected.	
[192.707(d)(1)]	Do the operator's line markers contain the following information: The following must be written legibly on a background of sharply contrasting color on each line marker: The word "Warning," "Caution," or "Danger" followed by the words "Gas (or name of gas transported) Pipeline" all of which, except for markers in heavily developed urban areas, must be in letters at least 1 inch (25 millimeters) high with ¼ inch (6.4 millimeters) stroke?	Satisfactory
General Comment:		
The required wording was	s present on the line markers observed at the road crossing at Route 142 and Deserter Road.	
[192.707(d)(2)]	Do the operator's line markers contain the following information: The following must be written legibly on a background of sharply contrasting color on each line marker: The name and phone number (including area code) of the operator where the operator can be reached at all times?	Satisfactory

AMEREN ILLINOIS COMPANY/6-19-2015

Page 4 of 7

General Comment:		
The correct phone number was	s preset on the marker for reaching Ameren Illinois directly. The number present was 1-800-755-5000.	
PRESSURE	LIMITING AND REGULATING DEVICES (MECHANICAL)	Status
Category Comment:	·	
No pressure limiting or regulat	ing devices were inspected as part of this audit.	
[192.739(a)(4)]	Was each pressure limiting station, relief device (except rupture discs), and pressure regulating station and its equipment subjected at intervals not exceeding 15 months, but at least once each calendar year, to inspections and tests to determine that it is properly installed and protected from dirt, liquids, or other conditions that might prevent proper operation.?	Not Checked
[192.317(b)]	Is each above ground transmission line or main protected from accidental damage by vehicular traffic or other similar causes?	Not Checked
[192.741(a),192.741(c)]	Were telemetering and/or recording gauges inspected for abnormally high or low pressure indications?	Not Checked
[192.743(a)]	Is overpressure protection provided on the pipeline down stream of the take point?	Not Checked
[192.199(d)]	Were the pressure relief or pressure limiting devices inspected to determine if the support was made of noncombustible material?	Not Checked
[192.199(e)]	Were the pressure relief or pressure limiting devices inspected to determine if the discharge stacks, vents, or outlet ports were designed to prevent accumulation of water, ice, or snow, and located where gas can be discharged into the atmosphere without undue hazard?	Not Checked
[192.199(h)]	Was each valve, designed to isolate the system under protection from its source of pressure, secured to prevent unauthorized operation of any stop valve that will make the pressure relief valve or pressure limiting device inoperative?	Not Checked
	VALVE MAINTENANCE	Status
Category Comment:	·	
No emergency valves were ins	spected as part of this audit.	
[192.747(a)]	Were the valves readily accessible and was the operator able to access the valve with the appropriate valve wrench?	Not Checked
	ODORIZATION OF GAS	Status
Category Comment:		
Odorant content or odorizing fa	acilities were not reviewed as part of this inspection.	
[192.625(a)]	Was the odor intensity level readily detectable at or below 1/5th LEL?	Not Checked
[192.625(e)]	Was the Odorization equipment and tank levels inspected?	Not Checked
[192.625(f)]	Was the operator's equipment calibrated as required?	Not Checked

	TRANSMISSION	Status
Category Comment:		
The facilities inspected du	uring this audit were high pressure distribution not transmission facilities.	
[192.179(b)(1)]	Are transmission valves protected from tampering or damage?	Not Checked
[192.745(a)]	Were the valves inspected accessible?	Not Checked
[192.719(a)]	Is pre-tested transmission pipe inventory maintained for use in an emergency?	Not Checked
[192.179(c)]	If blow down activities were observed, were the blow down valves properly sized to allow the transmission pipeline to vent as rapidly as practicable and did the blow down vent freely to atmosphere away from any hazards?	Not Checked
	MARKING OF MATERIAL	Status
[192.63(a)]	Does the operator's components and pipe contain the required markings?	Satisfactory
General Comment:		
The riser valve, meter and	d service regulator were observed being marked with their pressure ratings.	
	OPERATOR QUALIFICATION	Status
Was a PHMSA Form 15 Operator Qualification Field Inspection Protocol Form completed?		No
General Comment:		
No work was performed b	by the company employees that was covered under Operator Qualification.	